

A.—Sri R. M. PATIL (Minister for Municipal Administration).—

- (a) Yes.
- (b) The scheme is under preparation.
- (c) Does not arise in view of reply to clause (b) above.
- (d) Does not arise in view of replies to clauses (a) and (b).

Sri B. P. GANGADHAR.—Is the Government aware that a plan has already been prepared? An estimate has also been prepared.

Sri R. M. PATIL.—It was prepared but it had to be revised on account of revision of schedules and the matter is pending before the Town Municipal Council.

Sri B. P. GANGADHAR.—What is the estimated cost?

Sri R. M. PATIL.—As revised, it is Rs. 22 lakhs and odd.

Sri B. P. GANGADHAR.—In what time could it be completed?

Sri R. M. PATIL.—It cannot be said; it depends upon many factors.

Recovery of Takavi Loans

***Q.—872. Sri G. DUGGAPPA** (Bharamasagara).—

Will the Minister for Revenue and Forests be pleased to state:—

(a) whether it has come to the notice of the Government that much harassment is caused to the ryots at the time of recovery of takavi and irrigation loans;

(b) the penal interests collected;

(c) the instructions given to the officers in the matter of recovery of irrigation loan in cases of failure of irrigation wells;

(d) whether there is any proposal to waive the penal interest in order to encourage repayment of Irrigation loans and takavi loans?

A.—Sri D. DEVARAJ URS (Minister for Transport and Tourism).—
[On behalf of **Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO** (Deputy Minister for Revenue and Forests)].—

(a) No.

(b) Penal interest is levied upon all overdue instalments of interest or principal and interest according to rules;

(c) In the case of failed wells following concessions are given:—

(1) Remission of the entire interest on the loan amount from the date of disbursement;

(2) Remission of 20 per cent of the authorised arrears outstanding on the date on which the application for grant of such concessions is received from the borrower.

These concessions are subject to the following conditions :—

(1) the failure of the well should be for reasons beyond the control of the borrower and such failure is certified to by the B.D.O. or the Tahasildar after personal inspection of the well.

(2) That the borrower should have invested a sum not less than the loan amount drawn by him.

(3) These concessions will be applicable only in cases of loans sanctioned after 1st April 1962.

The Deputy Commissioners have been instructed to submit the records together with their recommendations about the grant of concessions in the case of failed wells to the Divisional Commissioner concerned who would pass final orders after examining the merits of each case.

(d) No.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ದುಗ್ಗಪ್ಪ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ ಇಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಬಾಕಿ ಕೊಡುವವರ ಮೇಲೆ ಸುಸ್ತಿ ಬಡ್ಡಿಯನ್ನು ಹಾಕುವುದರಿಂದ ಅವರು ಸಾಲವನ್ನು ತೀರಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಅದರಿಂದ ಇನ್ನು ಮುಂದೆ ಸುಸ್ತಿ ಬಡ್ಡಿಯನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಹಾಕದರೆ ಸಾಲದ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲಾಗುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರೋತ್ಸಾಹ ಕೊಟ್ಟಂತೆ ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ದೇವರಾಜ ಅರಸ್.—ಸುಸ್ತಿಯ ಬಡ್ಡಿಯನ್ನು ಕಾನೂನು ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಹಾಕಿದೆ. ಎಲ್ಲ ಬಾವಿಗಳನ್ನು ತೋಡಿ ವಿಫಲವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೋ ಅಂತಹ ಕಡೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ರಿಯಾಯಿತಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಕಾನೂನು ಮಾಡಿದೆ. ಜನರಲ್ಲಾಗಿ ಸುಸ್ತಿ ಬಡ್ಡಿಯನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಹಾಕಬೇಕೆಂದು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆ ರೀತಿ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಬಾವಿ ತೆಗೆಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಯಾರು ಸಾಲತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೋ ಅಂಥವರು ಸಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಅದನ್ನು ತೀರಿಸದಿದ್ದರೆ ಅವರ ಮೇಲೆ ಸುಸ್ತಿ ಬಡ್ಡಿಯನ್ನು ಹಾಕಲೇ ಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

Panchayat Agricultural Plan

*Q.—923. Sri B. B. SAYANAK (Belgaum).—

Will the Minister for Development and Co-operation be pleased to state :—

whether there is any Panchayat Agricultural Production Plan in the State ?

A.—Sri P. M. NAD GOUDA (Minister for Development and Co-operation).—

Yes.

Sri B. B. SAYANAK.—I wish to know whether it is a fact that the Panchayat Agricultural Plan is not feasible ?

† ಶ್ರೀ ಪಿ. ಎಂ. ನಾಡಗೌಡ.—ಅದು ತಪ್ಪು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—He has not asked in Marathi. Reply may be in English.